Appl. No. 10/754,323 Amdt. Dated June 28, 2007 Reply to Office action of January 19, 2007

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): In a method of forming a connection in a suspended ceiling grid between cross beams and a main beam, using a connector on the end of a cross beam that is stabbed through a slot in a main beam, in a suspended ceiling grid to lock the connector with (1) with the main beam, and (2) with an opposing identical connector already in the slot[[,]]; and that wherein the connector has a straight locking latch that pivots cantilevered from a base in the connector at a bend, that is capable of flexing and forming a pivot for the latch to permit the latch to pass through the slot and lock the connector to the main beam[[,]];

the improvement comprising a bend in the form of an arc, <u>so</u> that the locking latch pivots capable of flexing along the arc toward the base to permit the latch to pass through the slot.

Claim 2 (original): The improvement of claim 1, wherein the arc forms a radius of about .04 inches.

Claim 3 (original): The improvement of claim 1, wherein the locking latch is constructed substantially in accordance with the dimensions shown in Figure 2a.

Appl. No. 10/754,323 Amdt. Dated June 28, 2007 Reply to Office action of January 19, 2007

Claim 4 (currently amended): The improvement of claim 1, wherein such improvement is capable of providing a delay in delays contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch[[,]] during which delay while a taper on the connector being stabbed through the slot positions the connector vertically within the slot[[,]] more quickly than without the delay.

Claim 5 (currently amended): The improvement of claim 1, wherein such improvement is capable of providing a delay in delays contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch, so that a longer greater lever arm is created to apply force to pivot flex the locking latch as it is stabbed through the slot than would be created without the delay.

Claim 6 (currently amended): The improvement of claim 1, wherein such improvement is capable of providing a delay delays in contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch, so that during which delay the lateral friction created between the connector already in the slot, and the connector that is being stabbed through the slot, is substantially reduced from said the lateral friction created without the delay.

Claim 7 (currently amended): The improvement of claim 1, wherein such improvement is capable of providing a delay in delays contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch, so that during the delay, the connector being stabbed through the slot can be adjusted vertically to a position where it locks with the connector already in the slot.

Claim 8 (original): In combination, the improvements set forth in claims 1 through 7 above.

Appl. No. 10/754,323 Amdt. Dated June 28, 2007 Reply to Office action of January 19, 2007

Claim 9 (currently amended): A connector set forth in the methods of claims 1 through 7 claim 8 that is requires capable of requiring substantially less force over a shorter distance with the improvements set forth in claim 8, to lock the connectors to each other and to the main beam, than is required without the improvements.